

IWP/MPR4/2005/WP. 2a

**GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT FACILITY
UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME
SECRETARIAT OF THE PACIFIC REGIONAL ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME**

**THE INTERNATIONAL WATERS PROJECT
IMPLEMENTING THE STRATEGIC ACTION PROGRAMME (SAP) FOR THE
INTERNATIONAL WATERS OF THE PACIFIC SMALL ISLAND DEVELOPING
STATES**

FOURTH MULTIPARTITE REVIEW

**[Apia, Samoa]
11-12 August 2005**

Agenda Item 2

Working Paper 2a
Issues Arising From MPR3

IWP/MPR4/2005/WP 2a.

**GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT FACILITY
UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME
SECRETARIAT OF THE PACIFIC REGIONAL ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME**

**THE INTERNATIONAL WATERS PROJECT
IMPLEMENTING THE STRATEGIC ACTION PROGRAMME (SAP) FOR THE
INTERNATIONAL WATERS OF THE PACIFIC SMALL ISLAND DEVELOPING
STATES**

FOURTH MULTIPARTITE REVIEW

**[Apia, Samoa]
11-12 August 2005**

THIRD MULTIPARTITE REVIEW

**8-9 July, 2004
[Apia, Samoa]
ISSUES ARISING**

Para.	Issue	Action
12	Linkages between the OFM and ICWM component after completion of Phase I of the OFM.	OFM Phase I formally concluded in June 2005. Linkages between the two components, and SPREP, FFA and SPC responsible for the implementation different components of the IWP have been maintained through the CROP Heads Meeting, the CROP Marine Sector Working Group and through observing each other's governing council sessions.
19improve communication of information at the national level in respect of two components of the IWP in particular to environment departments and GEF focal points....	Quarterly narrative reports for the OFM and ICWM Components of the Project have been included in the same email distribution (which includes SPREP and GEF Focal Points) list and posted on the Project web site.
57the next MPR would be even more focused on outputs and achievements.....	The agenda for NCM6 and MPR4 has been designed to address this by providing national level project opportunities to report on outcomes and achievements.
58countries provide input to the UNDP/PCU as necessary by the end of July.....(to the PIR)	No additional input was received by the PCU and the report was submitted to UNDP in the first week of August.
63noted that funds may be reallocated between countries and between budget lines.....	This matter is addressed under Agenda Item 3: Financial Report.
72agreed that PCU would	A special meeting for National Coordinators

	assess the logistical and cost issues of such a meeting in consultation with National Coordinators in order to make a cost effective decision.....	(NCM5) was conducted in Nadi in October 2005. The meeting finalized national monitoring plans, considered a draft Replication Strategy and review the planned programme of work for the Project through to December 2006 – as reported on at Agenda Item 4.
78suggested that the Project produce a number of outputs detailing lessons learned including CD ROMs and cartoon booklets, for example.....	...this is being implemented (CDs, website, brochures, etc.).

Recommendation

The Meeting is invited to note the action taken to address issues arising during last year’s MPR and propose additional action considered necessary.