

**BREAKOUT GROUPS DISCUSSION – DAY ONE
SUMMARY (Philip Tortell)**

GROUP 'F' TEAM 3

Under each theme have 2 objectives, one of which will focus on Community Partnerships

Measurable objectives for Mainstreaming conservation within communities

Instead of “safeguard and conserve”, should read “enabling sustainable use while preserving environment”

Principles need active language – verbs

Theme 4 should be focussed on Communities

Objective 2 should add “economic” significance

Objective 3 – invasives are a threat – what about climate change, land use, waste management?

Should we have 5 objectives to include other threats like overfishing, land use, waste management, climate change

**BREAKOUT GROUPS DISCUSSION – DAY ONE
SUMMARY (Philip Tortell)**

GROUP 'F' GROUP 2

Objective 1 – too many activities implied

Who will implement? What about accountability? How will it be communicated to community?

As a regional policy, AS to be broad and implementation at lower level

Objective 1 hard to measure – broad and non-specific

Accountability is very important

Objectives 2 and 3 not objectives since they enforces Objective 1 – should be a strategy

Objective 1 should be a policy, with care for accountability.

Objectives 2 and 3 should be strategies within Objective 1

Society and Economy should be objectives on same level as Objective 1

BREAKOUT GROUPS DISCUSSION – DAY ONE GROUP 1 (Robert,Viola,Sandeep,etc)
SUMMARY (Philip Tortell)

Add economic value in Objective 2

Add new objective on networking/partnership between organizations, donors and organizations

Need capacity building through regional collaboration to avoid duplication

Make objectives exclusive to include monitoring

Objective 2 – “indigenous species” may exclude migratory species

Who decides priorities in Objective 1 ?

Who's priorities are these? Who identifies priority areas

BREAKOUT GROUPS DISCUSSION – DAY ONE
SUMMARY (Philip Tortell)

GROUP 'D'

Objective 1 – prefer first one since it applies to every island and each community can help conserve

Objective 2 – unhappy with “indigenous”

Objective 3 – what about sites which require rehabilitation?

Objective 1 – very broad – requires lots of work, needs priorities

Nothing on restoration, rehabilitation; “preserve” is missing

Not SMART

Requires partnerships

Objective 2 – no capacity building

Add “economic benefits”, add awareness

SMART criteria missing

Risk needs to be addressed

Missing link with protected areas

Culturally significance is of economic value depleting fast

Objective 3 – must capture scientific knowledge; involve local communities; dealing with invasives = part of PA management; capture SMART; partnership; database/baseline;

Awareness, regulating/compliance

GMO is new – more awareness required

Share country experience