

## ANSWERS TO CLARIFICATION QUESTIONS

File: AP\_6/5/8/4  
Date: 27 November 2019  
To: Interested suppliers  
Contact: Siniva Tuuau-Enosa  
  
Subject: Request for tenders: Healthcare Incinerators Repair Maintenance for the PacWaste Plus project

---

Q1. Do you have tools on site or do we need to bring all tools required?

Response:

The successful consultant is expected to provide/source all required tools and equipment.

Q2. The supply and return piping from the raised fuel tanks is to be stainless steel or copper, do you have bending machines nearby or do these need to be brought in as exact location of the tanks to the burners is unknown.

Response:

SPREP is unaware of any local tooling places at each of the sites, as such tenderers are required to inform themselves of any requirements and clearly indicate in their methodology and price, how they intend to fulfil the requested services.

Q3. We are only quoting on the Inciner8 models, is it a requirement to also do the CA30? Or are they all separate?

Response:

As outlined in the request for tender, required works are for all the identified incinerators which includes both Inciner8 and Advanced Combustion Engineering incinerator models.

Q4. On researching and making contact with Inciner8 (the manufacturer) they will not provide any service manuals or parts pricing as they have an outstanding bill for this project. We do want to submit for this tender but unless settlement is made with Inciner8 it would be difficult to get parts and manuals for the repairs.

Response:

The proposed maintenance and repair work in this ToR are addressing issues with units that were deployed in the initial PacWaste project, which includes the Inciner8 models. To assist in understanding the units and their construction and operation, find attached the Inciner8 User manual. Advice that has been received by the project indicates the required parts for replacement are generic of nature and can be sourced in the market. It is the responsibility of the tenderer to inform themselves of the necessary parts, suppliers and costs, as variations due to incorrect tendering quotation will not be considered appropriate grounds for a cost variation. Please note the detailed requirements included in the tender was determined based on a technical assessment of the needs of each unit and should provide a reasonably accurate indication of the required activities.

Q5. This projection completion date is an issue particularly with sites that require a preliminary inspection and equipment inspection. Our major concern is the delivery time frame for the burners which will need to be imported from Europe. With Christmas, and also the need for special 120V burners for Palau it will be several months before we can receive these burners which will then need to be modified prior to shipping to the various sites. With airfreight both ends we should be able to achieve the timeframe specified however much of the site works would be performed in the last month or so of the contract.

We therefore request a project extension time of one or two months which would help overcome the numerous Pacific factors which could delay these works.

Response:

This request is noted and will be taken into consideration, it is recommended that the tender submission reflects and justifies the need for a project extension accordingly

Q6. This tender calls for replacement burners, replacement stacks, a new oil tank and /or tank stands to be supplied and imported into five of the six nominated sites. Various spare parts will be required for all six sites. If import taxes and duties are to be included within this contract the determination of the applicable taxes would be difficult to assess and may result in the overall contract sum increasing more than necessary in order to address any potential taxation risks.

The original PacWaste incinerators were supplied and imported into the various countries on a taxfree basis and in our experience, this resulted in significant clearance delays in some countries. We would therefore request that it may be best, in order to expediate the works, that the successful contractor pays the duties so that the goods are quickly cleared from customs and is then reimbursed these costs by SPREP.

Response:

This request is noted and will be taken into consideration, it is recommended that the tender submission reflects and justifies this arrangement accordingly.